

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 1510 of 2005

Date of decision: September 7, 2006.

Kesar Kaur

...**Petitioner(s)**

v.

J.R. Kundal & Ors.

...**Respondent(s)**

Present: Shri Anil Kumar Singh Goyat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri G.S. Cheema, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondents.

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner, along with others, filed CWP No.19301 of 2003 in which action was sought against the ex-Sarpanch of the village for certain alleged irregularities. The said writ petition was disposed of on 15.12.2004 with liberty to the petitioners to submit an appropriate representation in respect of left out works which was directed to be decided by the government within six months.

Alleging non-compliance of the aforesaid order, this contempt petition has been filed.

In response to the show cause, Mr. Sarvjit Singh, Special Secretary-cum-Director, Rural Development & Panchayats Department, Punjab has filed his affidavit. It is stated in the affidavit that the petitioner made a representation which was entrusted for inquiry to the Additional

Director, Panchayats on 5.4.2005. In the inquiry by Additional Director, Panchayats, all the development works executed by the ex-Sarpanch were got assessed from the Executive Engineer, Panchayati Raj, Sangrur. The assessment report was submitted to the Inquiry Officer on 17.3.2006 and as per the said report, nothing was found against the ex-Sarpanch. The Inquiry Officer submitted his report on 20.3.2006 and on acceptance thereof, the complaint filed against the ex-Sarpanch has been filed and an intimation to this effect was sent to all the complainants on 19.4.2006.

Having regard to the fact that the respondents have taken the necessary steps to comply with the order dated 15.12.2004 passed by this Court, no action is required to be taken in this petition which is accordingly disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to impugn the above said decision of the State Government before an appropriate forum, if so required or advised.

Rule discharged.

September 7, 2006.
kadyan

[**Surya Kant**]
Judge